## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/448,378

Applicant(s)

Brasel et al

Examiner

F. Pierre VanderVegt

Group Art Unit 1644

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) F. Pierre VanderVegt	(3)
(2) James Klaniecki	
Date of Interview May 23, 2001	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) X No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: <i>None</i>	
Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  In a call initiated by Applicant's representative, informed Applicant's representative that the After-final amendment has been received and is under consideration.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE d of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	D. P. Jakely